

EXHIBIT 15

1 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
ELLIOT R. PETERS - #158708
2 ETHAN A. BALOGH - #172224
DANIEL PURCELL - #191424
3 STEVEN P. RAGLAND - #221076
710 Sansome Street
4 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
5 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
JOHN TENNISON

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 JOHN TENNISON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CITY AND COUNTY OF
SAN FRANCISCO; SAN FRANCISCO
15 POLICE DEPARTMENT; PRENTICE EARL
SANDERS; NAPOLEON HENDRIX; and
16 GEORGE BUTTERWORTH,

17 Defendants.

Case No. C 04-00574 CW

**NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF CITY
AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL
PROCEDURE 30(b)(6)**

Date: March 17, 2005
Time: 9:00 a.m.

1 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD IN THIS ACTION:**

2 Please take notice that plaintiff John Tennison will take the deposition of defendant City
3 and County of San Francisco ("the City") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6),
4 beginning on March 17, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. and continuing from day to day thereafter until
5 completed, at the offices of Kecker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco,
6 California 94111.

7 Please also take notice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(2) that the
8 deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized by law to administer
9 oaths, and may be recorded both stenographically and by sound-and-visual means (i.e., by
10 videotape), and provision may be made for real-time monitoring using LiveNote™ or similar
11 means.

12 Please take further notice the deponent is not a natural person. The City shall designate
13 for deposition, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), one or more officers,
14 managing agents, employees or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf. The matters
15 on which examination is requested are set forth below.

16 **DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

17 The following definitions and instructions apply to this notice of deposition:

18 1. "THE CITY," "YOU," or "YOUR" mean and refer to defendant City and County
19 of San Francisco, and to any and all of its political subdivisions, agencies, programs, employees,
20 agents, elected officials, representatives, consultants, accountants, and attorneys, including any
21 person who has served in any such capacity at any time, and all persons acting or purporting to
22 act on its behalf for any purpose.

23 2. "SFPD" means and refers to the Police Department of the City and County of San
24 Francisco, and to any and all of its subdivisions, agencies, programs, task forces, employees,
25 agents, representatives, consultants, accountants, and attorneys, including any person who has
26 served in any such capacity at any time, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf
27 for any purpose.

28 ///

1 3. “DA’S OFFICE” means and refers to the Office of the District Attorney of the
2 City and County of San Francisco, and to any and all of its subdivisions, agencies, programs,
3 task forces, employees, agents, representatives, consultants, accountants, and attorneys, including
4 any person who has served in any such capacity at any time, and all persons acting or purporting
5 to act on its behalf for any purpose.

6 4. “BUTTERWORTH” means and refers to defendant George Butterworth.

7 5. “HENDRIX” means and refers to defendant Napoleon Hendrix.

8 6. “SANDERS” means and refers to defendant Prentice Earl Sanders.

9 7. “TENNISON” means and refers to plaintiff John Tennison.

10 8. “SECRET WITNESS PROGRAM” means and refers to the program described by
11 defendants HENDRIX and SANDERS in their October 4, 1989 memorandum to Lieutenant
12 Gerald J. McCarthy of the SFPD, which memorandum was marked as Exhibit 38 to the
13 deposition of HENDRIX in TENNISON’s habeas case, Tennison v. Henry, Case No. C-98-3842
14 CW (N.D. Cal.) and is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

15 9. “CONTINGENT FUND B” means and refers to the program described in the
16 September 2, 2003 letter from California Assistant Attorney General Glenn Pruden, counsel for
17 the State of California in Tennison v. Henry, to Ethan A. Balogh, counsel for Tennison, which
18 letter and its attachments are attached hereto as Exhibit B.

19 10. “EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE” means and refers to the category of evidence
20 police and prosecutors are required to provide to criminal defendants under the United States
21 Supreme Court’s decision in Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny.

22 11. “RECORDING” means any recording of the event described, whether by video,
23 audiotape, stenographic or other means.

24 12. “RELATING” and “RELATED” mean concerning, referring to, summarizing,
25 reflecting, constituting, containing, embodying, pertaining to, involved with, mentioning,
26 discussing, consisting of, comprising, showing, commenting on, evidencing, describing or
27 otherwise relating to the subject matter.

28 13. “ALL,” “ANY,” “EACH,” or “EVERY” mean “all, each and every.”

14. "INCLUDING" means "including, but not limited to."

15. The use of the singular shall be deemed to include the plural and the use of the plural shall be deemed to include the singular.

DEPOSITION TOPICS

Tennison requests, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), that the City produce for deposition the person or persons most knowledgeable about the following topics:

1. YOUR training and supervision of, and delegation of authority to, BUTTERWORTH regarding his constitutional obligations to defendants in criminal prosecutions.

2. YOUR training and supervision of, and delegation of authority to, HENDRIX regarding his constitutional obligations to defendants in criminal prosecutions.

3. YOUR training and supervision of, and delegation of authority to, SANDERS regarding his constitutional obligations to defendants in criminal prosecutions.

4. The positions of HENDRIX and SANDERS within the organizational structure of the SFPD between 1984 and 1996.

5. The duties and responsibilities of SFPD homicide detectives and inspectors between 1984 and 1996.

6. The duties and responsibilities of members of the SFPD Gang Task Force between 1984 and 1996.

7. The working relationship between SFPD homicide detectives and inspectors and members of the SFPD Gang Task Force between 1984 and 1996.

8. BUTTERWORTH's employment history with the CITY, INCLUDING his personnel files, ANY internal-affairs investigations regarding his employment conduct, and ANY citizen complaints of misconduct in the performance of his job duties.

9. HENDRIX's employment history with the CITY, INCLUDING his personnel files, ANY internal-affairs investigations regarding his employment conduct, and ANY citizen complaints of misconduct in the performance of his job duties.

///

1 10. SANDERS's employment history with the CITY, INCLUDING his personnel
2 files, ANY internal-affairs investigations regarding his employment conduct, and ANY citizen
3 complaints of misconduct in the performance of his job duties.

4 11. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
5 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
6 OFFICE, RELATED to questioning of ANY person suspected of involvement in ANY crime,
7 INCLUDING techniques used in such questioning.

8 12. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
9 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
10 OFFICE, RELATED to recantations or retractions of previously-made statements by ANY
11 person suspected of involvement in ANY crime.

12 13. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
13 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
14 OFFICE, RELATED to confessions to crimes by ANY person, INCLUDING confessions by
15 persons other than individuals previously investigated for, arrested for, charged with, or
16 convicted of the same crime or crimes.

17 14. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
18 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
19 OFFICE, RELATED to making reports, RECORDINGS, or other memorializations of
20 statements by ANY person suspected of involvement in ANY crime, including techniques used
21 in memorializing such statements.

22 15. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
23 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
24 OFFICE, RELATED to interviews of actual, potential, suspected, or professed witnesses to ANY
25 crime, INCLUDING techniques used in such questioning.

26 16. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
27 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
28 OFFICE, RELATED to recantations or retractions of previously-made statements by ANY

1 actual, potential, suspected, or professed witnesses to ANY crime.

2 17. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
3 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
4 OFFICE, RELATED to making reports, RECORDINGS, or other memorializations of
5 statements by ANY actual, potential, suspected, or professed witness to ANY crime, including
6 techniques used in memorializing such statements.

7 18. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
8 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
9 OFFICE, RELATED to providing reward money to actual, potential, suspected, or professed
10 witnesses to ANY crime, INCLUDING standards governing providing reward money to such
11 witnesses, oversight over providing reward money to such witness, or training materials related
12 to providing reward money to such witnesses.

13 19. ANY offer or actual payment of money to ANY actual, potential, suspected, or
14 professed witness to ANY crime by HENDRIX.

15 20. ANY DOCUMENTS reflecting any offer or actual payment of money to ANY
16 actual, potential, suspected, or professed witness to ANY crime by HENDRIX.

17 21. ANY offer or actual payment of money to ANY actual, potential, suspected, or
18 professed witness to ANY crime by SANDERS.

19 22. ANY DOCUMENTS reflecting any offer or actual payment of money to ANY
20 actual, potential, suspected, or professed witness to ANY crime by SANDERS.

21 23. The SECRET WITNESS PROGRAM, referred to in the memorandum attached as
22 Exhibit A to this Notice of Deposition, INCLUDING ANY standards governing that program,
23 oversight over that program, OR training materials related to that program.

24 24. ANY offer or actual disbursement of money from the SECRET WITNESS
25 PROGRAM, at ANY time and for ANY purpose, by HENDRIX.

26 25. ANY DOCUMENTS reflecting any disbursement from the SECRET WITNESS
27 PROGRAM requested by HENDRIX or in ANY investigation involving HENDRIX.

28 ///

1 26. ANY offer or actual disbursement of money from the SECRET WITNESS
2 PROGRAM, at ANY time and for ANY purpose, by SANDERS.

3 27. ANY DOCUMENTS reflecting any disbursement from the SECRET WITNESS
4 PROGRAM requested by SANDERS or in ANY investigation involving SANDERS.

5 28. CONTINGENT FUND B, referred to in the letter attached as Exhibit B to this
6 Notice of Deposition, INCLUDING ANY standards governing that program, oversight over that
7 program, OR training materials related to that program.

8 29. ANY attempted or actual disbursement of money from CONTINGENT FUND B,
9 at ANY time and for ANY purpose, by HENDRIX.

10 30. ANY DOCUMENTS reflecting any disbursement from the CONTINGENT
11 FUND B involving HENDRIX.

12 31. ANY attempted or actual disbursement of money from CONTINGENT FUND B,
13 at ANY time and for ANY purpose, by SANDERS.

14 32. ALL DOCUMENTS reflecting any disbursement from the CONTINGENT
15 FUND B involving SANDERS.

16 33. Check number 4729 drawn on CONTINGENT FUND B on October 11, 1989 and
17 made payable to SANDERS.

18 34. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED to check number 4729 drawn on
19 CONTINGENT FUND B on October 11, 1989 and made payable to SANDERS.

20 35. Check number 4897 drawn on CONTINGENT FUND B on December 1, 1989
21 and made payable to HENDRIX.

22 36. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED to check number 4897 drawn on
23 CONTINGENT FUND B on December 1, 1989 and made payable to HENDRIX.

24 37. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
25 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
26 OFFICE, RELATED to a police officer's or prosecutor's constitutional obligations in criminal
27 prosecutions regarding witnesses, INCLUDING interviewing witnesses, preparing witnesses to
28 testify in criminal proceedings, manufacturing evidence by witnesses, suppressing witnesses and

1 their statements from evidence, or providing reward money to witnesses.

2 38. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
3 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
4 OFFICE, RELATED to polygraph examinations, INCLUDING the administration, execution,
5 evaluation, analysis, documentation, and use of such examinations, and the creation and
6 maintenance of records of such examinations.

7 39. The polygraph examination administered to Pauline Maluina by SFPD Inspector
8 Henry Hunter on April 24, 1990.

9 40. The creation, maintenance, storage, handling, and distribution to the DA'S
10 OFFICE of ANY RECORDING of the SFPD's April 24, 1990 polygraph examination of Pauline
11 Maluina by SFPD Inspector Henry Hunter, INCLUDING the responsibility of the SFPD to
12 deliver that RECORDING to the DA'S OFFICE.

13 41. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
14 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
15 OFFICE, RELATED to the handling of EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE in criminal prosecutions,
16 INCLUDING the pursuit, discovery, collection, handling, maintenance, and dissemination of
17 such evidence.

18 42. ANY of YOUR policies and practices, including YOUR training and supervision
19 of, and delegation of authority to, ANY of YOUR employees assigned to the SFPD or the DA'S
20 OFFICE, RELATED to a police officer's or prosecutor's constitutional obligation in criminal
21 prosecutions to disclose ALL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE to counsel for the defendant.

22 43. The creation, maintenance, storage, handling, and distribution to the DA'S
23 OFFICE of ANY RECORDING of the SFPD's November 7, 1990 interview with Lovinsky
24 Ricard, INCLUDING the responsibility of the SFPD to deliver that RECORDING to the DA'S
25 OFFICE.

26 44. The creation, maintenance, storage, handling, and distribution to the DA'S
27 OFFICE of ANY RECORDING of the SFPD's January 3, 1990 interview with Chante Smith,
28 INCLUDING the responsibility of the SFPD to deliver that RECORDING to the DA'S OFFICE.

1 45. The creation, maintenance, storage, handling, and distribution to the DA'S
2 OFFICE of ANY RECORDING of the SFPD's February 9, 1990 interview with Luther Blue,
3 INCLUDING the responsibility of the SFPD to deliver that RECORDING to the DA'S OFFICE.

4 46. YOUR efforts to comply with Magistrate Judge Joseph Spero's September 21,
5 2001 order requiring discovery to be furnished to TENNISON in TENNISON's habeas corpus
6 proceeding and the resulting document subpoenas issued to the SFPD and the DA'S OFFICE on
7 October 1, 2001 by TENNISON, INCLUDING YOUR search for material ordered to be
8 produced, YOUR preparation of that material for production, YOUR production of that material
9 to TENNISON, and ANY material reviewed for potential production but not ultimately
10 produced.

11 47. ALL documents within YOUR possession, custody, or control within the scope of
12 Magistrate Judge Joseph Spero's September 21, 2001 order in TENNISON's habeas corpus
13 proceeding and the resulting document subpoenas issued to the SFPD and the DA'S OFFICE on
14 October 1, 2001 by TENNISON.

15 48. YOUR efforts to respond to TENNISON's document requests in this case,
16 INCLUDING YOUR search for documents requested by TENNISON, YOUR preparation of that
17 material for production, YOUR production of those documents to TENNISON, and ANY
18 material reviewed for potential production but not ultimately produced

19 49. ALL documents within YOUR possession, custody, or control responsive to
20 TENNISON's document requests in this case.

21
22 Dated: February 1, 2005

KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP

23
24
25 By: 

DANIEL PURCELL
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JOHN TENNISON

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Kecker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

On February 1, 2005, I served the following document(s):

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 30(B)(6)

X by **COURIER**, by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below, and dispatching a messenger from Worldwide Attorney Services, Inc., whose address is 75 Lily Street, 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102, with instructions to hand-carry the above and make delivery to the following during normal business hours, by leaving the package with the person whose name is shown or the person authorized to accept courier deliveries on behalf of the addressee.

James A. Quadra, Esq.
Lisa-Anne M. Wong, Esq.
Moscone, Emblidge & Quadra, LLP
180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1240
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel: (415) 362-3599
Fax: (415) 362-7332

Attorneys for Defendants
Prentice Earl Sanders and Napoleon Hendrix

Randolph Daar, Esq.
Pier 5 Law Offices
506 Broadway
San Francisco, CA 94133
Tel: (415) 986-5591
Fax: (415) 421-1331

Attorneys for Defendant
Antoine Goff

Evan H. Ackiron
Scott D. Wiener
Deputy City Attorney
Office of the City Attorney
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102-5408
Tel: (415) 554-3856
Fax: (415) 554-3837

Attorneys for Defendants
George Butterworth and
The City and County of San Francisco

John Houston Scott, Esq.
The Scott Law Firm
153 Townsend Street, Suite 950
San Francisco, CA 94107
Tel: (415) 442-5100
Fax: (415) 442-5108

Executed on February 1, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.



JOANNE WINARS

EXHIBIT A

Memorandum

San Francisco Police Department



To: Lieutenant Gerald J. McCarthy
OIC - Homicide Section

From: Inspector Prentice E. Sanders, #901
Inspector Napoleon L. Hendrix, #1101

Date: October 4, 1989

Subj: SECRET WITNESS PROGRAM
Victim: Roderick E. Shannon
Case No. 891-092-371

APPROVED YES NO

At approximately 0205 hours on August 19, 1989, Roderick E. Shannon was severely beaten by a group of young Negro males, believed to be "gang" types. As the victim lay on the ground, begging for his life, he was shot execution style.

Based on information obtained through investigation, this appears to be a "gang related murder", growing out of the continuing rivalry between Hunters Point and Sunnydale youths.

The victim in this case was mistakenly identified as a member of the Sunnydale group.

In order to encourage witnesses to come forward, we request a reward of \$2,500.00 from the Secret Witness Program. We feel this reward will generate information that will lead to the arrest and conviction of the perpetrator(s) of this homicide.

Δ π EXHIBIT <u>2</u>	
Deponent	_____
Date	Rptr. _____
WWW.DEPOBOOK.COM	

SFPD 00026

Memorandum

San Francisco Police Department



To: Lieutenant Gerald J. McCarthy
OIC - Homicide Section

From: Inspector Prentice E. Sanders, #901
Inspector Napoleon L. Hendrix, #1101

Date: October 4, 1989

Subj: SECRET WITNESS PROGRAM
Victim: Roderick E. Shannon
Case No. 891-092-371

APPROVED YES NO

[Signature] ☒ ☐
____ ☐ ☐
____ ☐ ☐

At approximately 0205 hours on August 19, 1989, Roderick E. Shannon was severely beaten by a group of young Negro males, believed to be "gang" types. As the victim lay on the ground, begging for his life, he was shot execution style.

Based on information obtained through investigation, this appears to be a "gang related murder", growing out of the continuing rivalry between Hunters Point and Sunnydale youths.

The victim in this case was mistakenly identified as a member of the Sunnydale group.

In order to encourage witnesses to come forward, we request a reward of \$2,500.00 from the Secret Witness Program. We feel this reward will generate information that will lead to the arrest and conviction of the perpetrator(s) of this homicide.

SFPD 00028

*TO Rm 400
10-04-89
AR*

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

1 TO	(NAME) <i>J. McCarthy</i> (UNIT) <i>Homicide Section</i>	INITIALS	DATE	FOR ACTION
2 TO	(NAME) <i>Kendrick & Sanders</i> (UNIT) <i>for your file</i>	INITIALS	DATE	FOR RECOMMENDATION
3 TO	(NAME)	INITIALS	DATE	FOR APPROVAL
4 TO	(NAME)	INITIALS	DATE	AS REQUESTED

Jerry
Per Mary Petrie, this
request has been taken
care of and the reward is
in place.

NOTE: DO NOT USE THIS FORM AS A RECORD OF APPROVALS, CONCURRENCES, DISAPPROVALS, CLEARANCES AND SIMILAR ACTIONS.

FROM	(NAME) <i>Larry M. ...</i> (UNIT) <i>Community Services</i>	DATE
		PHONE

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Memorandum



APPROVED YES NO

ok E. Shannon was
red to be "gang"
s life, he was

s. appears to be
ivalry between

mber of the

t a reward of
eward will
iction of the

SFPD 39 (7/72)

EXHIBIT B

BILL LOCKYER
Attorney General

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7004

Public: (415) 703-5500
Telephone: (415) 703-5959
Facsimile: (415) 703-1162
E-Mail: Glenn.Pruden@doj.ca.gov

September 2, 2003

RECEIVED

SEP 03 2003

KEKER & VAN NEST

Ethan A. Balogh, Esq.
KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, California 94111-1704

RE: *Tennison v. Henry*, N.D. Cal. C 98-3842 CW

Dear Mr. Balogh:

Enclosed, please find copies of two ledger pages pertaining to San Francisco Police Department Contingent Fund B, Monthly Expenditures for 31 October 1989 and 31 December 1989, respectively. This information was provided to me by the San Francisco Police Department on 29 August 2003. Please note the entry at line 26 on the 31 October 1989 page, and the entry at line 3 on the 31 December 1989 page. I have been informed that the number "2" found immediately to the right of the amount indicates that the amount is non-reimbursable. I have also been informed that the entry in column four shows an internal accounting code for the comptroller.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Glenn R. Pruden".

GLENN R. PRUDEN
Deputy Attorney General

For **BILL LOCKYER**
Attorney General

encls 2

TEN002659

San Francisco Police Department
Contingent Fund B - Monthly Expenditures
October 31, 1989

Approved By	DATE
Reviewed By	

	Date	Payee	Unit	Check no.	Amount	Sub-Object	Payable	
1	10/1/89	James Hall	Tactical	4704	2454.00	1206 C	Post Advance	1
2	10/1/89	M. Kemnitz	Vice	4705	450.00	1206 E	Advance for Oct. 1989	2
3	10/1/89	James Farner	COP	4706	1931.00	1298	Office Supplies	3
4	10/5/89	Allen Wingate	Homicide	4707	200.00	1206 D	Witness Expenses	4
5	10/5/89	DPH-MDWF	Reinforce	4708	130.00	1298	Minor Expenses	5
6	10/5/89	James Long	Training	4709	290.00	1206 C	Post Advance	6
7	10/5/89	Vietn Flooding	Flight Data	4710	801.71	1206 B	Picked up report	7
8	10/5/89	Michael Gualde	MCTD	4711	550.00	1206 E	Domestication	8
9	10/1/89	Terrence Morgan	Tactical	4712	478.00	1206 C	Post Advance	9
10	10/1/89	Michael Travers	Tactical	4713	478.00	1206 C	Post Advance	10
11	10/1/89	Harvey Rockwell	Training	4714	390.00	1206 C	Post Advance	11
12	10/1/89	Steve Palma	SQBTF	4715	502.00	1206 C	Post Advance	12
13	10/1/89	Mark Lundin	SQBTF	4716	502.00	1206 C	Post Advance	13
14		VOID		4717				14
15	10/1/89	Peter Provinsky	Co. C	4718	502.00	1206 C	Post Advance	15
16	10/1/89	Jeff Brooks	Homicide	4719	1278.00	1298	Minor Expenses	16
17	10/1/89	Walter Scott	Comm Svcs	4720	250.00	1298	Travel Expenses	17
18	10/1/89	Shirley Hogg	COP	4721	50.00	1298	Expenses for Sept 1989	18
19	10/1/89	Walter Hogg	Commission	4722	50.00	1298	Expenses for Sept 1989	19
20	10/1/89	Major City Chiefs	COP	4723	25.00	1298	Minor Expenses	20
21		VOID		4724				21
22		VOID		4725				22
23		VOID		4726				23
24	10/1/89	CASF	Fiscal	4727	20894.00	1299	Cash Exchange	24
25	10/1/89	CASF	Fiscal	4728	10883.42	1299	Cash Exchange	25
26	10/1/89	Rebecca Sanders	Homicide	4729	1250.00	1298	Witness Expenses	26
27	10/1/89	CPOA	Legal	4730	120.00	1298	Registration Fee	27
28	10/1/89	CASF	Fiscal	4731	205198.00	1299	Cash Exchange	28
29	10/1/89	Kenneth Davis	Vice	4732	509.00	1206 C	Post Advance	29
30	10/1/89	Robert Calligan	Police	4733	702.00	1206 C	Post Advance	30
31		VOID		4734				31
32	10/1/89	Ed Kenney	Homicide	4735	2000.00	1206 B	Extraction of Records	32
33	10/1/89	James Bergstrom	Homicide	4736	22850.00	1206 C	Post Advance	33
34		VOID		4737				34
35		VOID		4738				35
36	10/1/89	Gary Jimenez	Co. B	4739	702.00	1206 C	Post Advance	36
37	10/1/89	Richard Moser	Intelligence	4740	850.00	1206 C	Post Advance	37
38	10/23/89	SFPD WRF	Investigative	4741	9500.00	1299	Cash Exchange	38
39	10/23/89	CASF	Fiscal	4742	3250.00	1299	Cash Exchange	39
40	10/23/89	Ed Endelatz	Homicide	4743	225.00	1206 E	Quitting Letter	40
	10/24/89	Michael Niland	Tactical	4744	832.00	1206 C	Post Advance	

4806 (4-806) -- Bull
8806 (8806) -- Green

-JN002660

San Francisco Police Department

Contingent Fund B - Monthly Expenditures

December 31, 1959

	Date	Payer	Unit	Check No.	Amount	Sub-ject	Purpose
1	12/1/59	C.C.S.F.	Fiscal	4895	1804-1	1299	Cash Exchange
2	12/1/59	M. Remmelt	Vice	4896	450-3	1206	Adv. fr. Dec. 1990
3	12/1/59	R. Hendrix	Homicide	4897	1100-12	1206	Witness against
4	12/8/59	Vannier	Consent	4898	10		
5		Payee	Deer	4914	3400-1	1299	Cash Exchange
6		VOID		4915			
7	12/5/59	Vannier	Consent	4916	10		
8		Payee	Deer	4923	2200-1	1299	Cash Exchange
9		VOID		4924			
10		VOID		4925			
11		VOID		4926			
12		VOID		4927			
13		VOID		4928			
14		VOID		4929			
15		Vannier	Consent	4930	10		
16		Payee	Deer	4941	2400-1	1299	Cash Exchange
17		VOID		4942			
18		VOID		4943			
19		Vannier	Consent	4944	10		
20		Payee	Deer	4961	3000-1	1299	Cash Exchange
21		VOID		4962			
22		Chris Padilla	C.D.	4963	200-1	1299	Cash Exchange
23		VOID		4964			
24		VOID		4965			
25		VOID		4966			
26		VOID		4967			
27		VOID		4968			
28		VOID		4969			
29		Vannier	Consent	4970	10		
30		Payee	Deer	4985	3200-1	1299	Cash Exchange
31	12/4/59	Pimlico	Blanching	4986	6923-2	1298	Funeral Writ
32	12/5/59	T. Parisi	Gen. Work	4987	42-1	1298	Misc. Expenses
33	12/5/59	C.C.S.F.	Fiscal	4988	140-1	1299	Cash Exchange
34	12/5/59	Diondas	C.O.P.	4989	8250-2	1298	Misc. Expenses
35	12/5/59	American Printing	C.O.P.	4990	425-2	1298	Misc. Expenses
36	12/1/59	Vannier	Consent	4991	10		
37		Payee	Deer	4993	600-1	1299	Cash Exchange
38		VOID		4994			
39		Vannier	Consent	4995	10		
40		Payee	Deer	4996	400-1	1299	Cash Exchange
	12/5/59	Willie Frazier	Commission	4997	50-1	1298	Expenses for Nov 1959

4806 (94806) - Bull
8806 (98806) - Green

FEN002661